		Exempt From Filing Fees to Government Code 72847255 Apr 26 2024 06:08PM
1	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP Jeremy N. Jungreis (State Bar No. 256417)	Tile & ServeXyres
2	jjungreis@rutan.com Douglas J. Dennington (State Bar No. 173447)	Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California.
3	ddennington@rutan.com	County of Los Angeles 4/26/2024 7:28 PM
4	18575 Jamboree Road, 9th Floor Irvine, CA 92612	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By M. Arellanes, Deputy Clerk
5	Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035	- , , ,
6	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant	
7	CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, a special district	California
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF L	LOS ANGELES, DISTRICT
10	SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, a	Case No. 19STCP01176
11	California non-profit corporation,	Judge: Hon. William F. Highberger
12	Petitioner,	Dept: 10
13	VS.	CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT STATUS REPORT STATEMENT
14	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, et. al.,	Date: May 3, 2024
15	Respondents.	Time: 9:00 A.M. Dept.: 10
16		Date Action Filed: September 19, 2014
17	CITY OF SAN BUENA VENTURA, et. al.,	Trial Date: Not Set
18	Cross-Complainant,	
19	VS.	
20	DUNCAN ABBOTT, an individual, et al.,	
	Cross-Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

-1-

27

28

Cross-Defendant Casitas Municipal Water District ("Casitas") respectfully submits this Statement, and supporting Declaration of Casitas' General Manager Michael Flood, in support of the Joint Statement filed by the City of Ventura in response to the Order to Show Cause issued by the Court on March 13, 2024, which is set for hearing on May 3, 2024. Casitas urges the Court on May 3 to overrule any objections that may be filed in opposition to the mediation parties' request to continue the existing stay until January 31, 2025.

The structured, and principal (e.g. elected official) led mediation is working. Parties that used to be far apart and unwilling to talk with one another are rolling up their sleeves and bringing data to bear in developing a watershed management plan and physical solution that will benefit *O Mykiss* and other species of concern in the Ventura River Watershed ("VRW"), while at the same time ensuring that Lake Casitas, and other regional water resources, continue to support the many uses that allow human beings to inhabit, and make a living, in the VRW.

Through structured mediation, the Parties to the Cross-Complaint are making progress towards the development of a physical solution and stipulated judgment for presentation to the Court, and that process has greatly accelerated in the last two months. The Parties need more time to finish the job, and there is no meaningful downside to allowing additional time until January 31, 2025 to complete the mediation process. By January 31, 2025, the Parties will either be able to agree to a physical solution that benefits *O Mykiss* or return to litigation.

There is good cause, therefore, to continue the stay—as jointly requested by the Mediation Parties via the City of Ventura, whose Memorandum of Points and Authorities Casitas hereby joins—to permit the structured mediation to continue through January 31, 2025. Setting a trial date prematurely will only have the effect of diverting the Parties' resources and attention away from the mediation to prepare for a trial that nobody wants, that will not benefit *O Mykiss*, and which will destroy the greatly improved relationships and collaborative momentum that has resulted from the Mediation Parties working together collaboratively over the last two years.

In order to try and reach consensus on a shorter and more robust stay period for concluding the ongoing mediation effort, Casitas concurred with the other mediation parties, which now include the State, that an appropriate end date for the current stay be revised from March 31, 2025, to January 31, 2025.

1	Casitas also supports the Court's potential impo	sition of interim milestones as suggested by	
2	Ventura during the requested stay in order to en	sure that what is likely the last extension is put to	
3	good use and the mediation parties remain dilig	ent in their efforts to develop and submit a full and	
4	robust physical solution for the Court's consideration on or before January 31, 2025.		
5	For the reasons stated herein, in the attached declaration of Michael Flood, attached hereto		
6	and incorporated herein by reference, Casitas respectfully requests that the Court extend the stay		
7	for all purposes to January 31, 2025 as requested for good cause by the City of Ventura, and that		
8	the Court overrule any objections to the contrary.		
9 10	Dated: April 26, 2024	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP JEREMY N. JUNGREIS DOUGLAS J. DENNINGTON	
11			
12		By:	
13		Jeremy N. Jungreis Attorneys for Cross-Defendant	
14		CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, a California Special District	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al. and related cross-action		
3	Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 19STCP01176		
4 5	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE		
6 7	I am employed by the law office of Rutan & Tucker, LLP in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 18575 Jamboree Road, 9 th Floor, Irvine, California 92612. My electronic notification address is		
8	mmartinez@rutan.com. On April 26, 2024, I served on the interested parties in said action the within:		
9			
10			
11 12	X (Via E-Service to File & ServeXpress) I affected electronic service by submitting an		
13	https://secure.fileandservexpress.com, which caused the document(s) to be sent by electronic		
14	Executed on April 26, 2024, at Irvine, California.		
15 16	foregoing is true and correct.		
17	Marisol Martinez /s/ Marisol Martinez		
18	(Type or print name) (Signature)		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	159/029518-0003 15559770.1 a04/26/24 PROOF OF SERVICE		